

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332)
United States Attorney

2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 CHAD M. MANDELL (ILBN 6286783)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

5 150 Almaden Boulevard
6 San Jose, California 95113
Telephone: (408) 535-5059
7 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
Email: chad.mandell@usdoj.gov

E-FILED - 11/25/08

8 Attorneys for the United States of America

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION
13

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
15 Plaintiff,)
16 v.)
17 JESUS ZENDEJAS,)
18 Defendant.)
19 _____)

No. CR 08-00524 RMW
STIPULATION AND []
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM
SEPTEMBER 22, 2008 TO DECEMBER 1,
2008 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
3161(h)(8)(A))

20
21 The parties stipulate that the time between September 22, 2008 and December 1, 2008 is
22 excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the
23 requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for
24 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree
25 that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of
26 the
27 //
28 //

public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.
18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

DATED: September 26, 2008

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

/s/
CHAD M. MANDELL
Special Assistant United States Attorney

/s/
NICHOLAS P. HUMY
Assistant Federal Public Defender

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between September 8, 2008 and December 1, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/25/08



RONALD M. WHYTE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE